

TRUCK.
WE WENT
ON SULK DOWN

DAY #18 STRIP SEARCHES STARTED

PREA

MY NAME IS JOHN JAMES # 1700754, AND I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF ALL MEDIUM CUSTODY G-4'S AND ON THE JORDAN UNIT AND WERE BEING SUBJECTED TO EMOTIONAL TORTURE WITH SEXUAL HARASSMENT ON THE JORDAN. THEY FOUND SOME ALCOHOL AROUND A GALLON OF HOME-MADE WINE AND SO NOW THEY'RE STRIPPING & SEARCHING US 3 TIMES A DAY THEY STARTED SATURDAY AND SUNDAY SO I'VE BEEN STRIPPED NAKED 16 TIMES IN TWO DAYS ALONG WITH ABOUT 100 OTHER INMATES.

ALSO NIGHT SHIFT IS STRIPPING US IN THE DAYROOM WITH NO PROTECTIVE WALLS SUBJECTING TO EXPOSE OUR SELVES IN PUBLIC IF WE DON'T STRIP WE DON'T, DON'T SHOWER, NO DAYROOM, NOTHING. THE SAME ISSUE HAPPENED A MONTH AGO ON ANOTHER WING WITH G-2'S AND THE WARDEN DID NOT SUBJECT THEM TO THE SAME CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, THEY TRYING TO HUMILIATE AND PUNISH US WITH STRIP SEARCHES "ROUTINE VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE RECTUM BY CORRECTION OFFICER'S CONSTITUTE CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE 8TH & 14TH AMENDMENT." FRAZIER, 426 F.S. 1354, "A STRIP SEARCH IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL IF IT IS DESIGNED TO INTIMIDATE, HARASS, OR PUNISH." JEAN-LAUREN, 540, F.S. 501
① I LIVE IN FEAR OF THESE OFFICERS ACTIONS.

THIS EXCESSIVE FORCE IS OUT OF CONTROL. THEY STRIP US IN THE DAYROOM AT BREAKFAST WITH SO DEGREE TEMPERATURE AND I HAVE DEVELOPED A COLD BECAUSE OF IT. THE 8TH AMENDMENT PROHIBITION AGAINST CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT STANDS AS A PROTECTION FROM BODILY SEARCHES WHICH ARE MALICIOUSLY MOTIVATED, UNRELATED TO INSTITUTIONAL SECURITY, AND HENCE WITHOUT ~~REASON~~ TOTALLY WITHOUT PENOLOGICAL JUSTIFICATION. "RHODES V. CHAPMAN, 101 S. CT. 2392 "PRISONERS ARE CONSTITUTIONALLY ENTITLED NOT TO BE SUBJECTED TO SEARCHES CONDUCTED SOLELY TO HARRASS." HUDSON, 104 S. CT. 3194. THIS HAPPENED TO POPULATION A MONTH AND THEY WERENT STRIPPED 8 TIMES A DAY "ALL PERSONS SIMARILY SITUATED ARE TO BE TREATED ALIKE" ARTWAY, 81 F. 3d, 1235. THEY NEED TO TREAT US THE SAME AS G-2'S ~~ARE~~ ^{OR} SUBJECT THE REST OF THE POPULATION TO THE SAME TREATMENT. THERE NOT STRIPPING US FOR DRUGS OR DANGEROUS WEAPONS, THEY JUST WANT TO HARRASS US. ROUTINE BODY SEARCHES IN PRISON, CONDUCTED WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE HAVE BEEN CONDEMNED IN GENERAL RUIZ V. ESTELLE, 503 F. S. 1265, 1372, SHOW ME JUSTIFICATION, TO MAKE ME GET NAKED IN PUBLIC 16 TIMES IN TWO DAYS, IM IN THE PROCESS OF CONTRACTING HUMAN RIGHTS, F. B. I, INTERNAL AFFAIRS, WASHINGTON,

~~HE TOLD BEST FORM MATHS CLASSES THAT HE'S NOT STOPPING BECAUSE IT'S FOR OUR SAFETY THEN LAUGHED AFTER HE SAID IT~~

THIS IS ALSO A VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT PROTECTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. THE QUESTION AT THE HEART OF THE ISSUE ARE WHAT RIGHTS DO PEOPLE HAVE IN PRISON, WHAT POWERS DO OFFICERS HAVE AND WHEN AND WHERE DO PARTS OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT WHICH PROTECTS PEOPLE FROM UNLAWFUL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES, STOP APPLYING. I'M ASKING FOR A FULL INVESTIGATION ABOUT FORCING A HUNDRED INMATES TO STRIP, OR DON'T EAT, OR DON'T GO TO CHURCH. PRISON SHOULD NOT BE AN USED AS AN EXCUSE TO VIOLATE

ANYBODY'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. THESE ^{ILLEGAL} SEARCHES ARE EXTREME AND ^{COMPLETELY} UNACCEPTABLE AND DEEPLY TROUBLING. INDIVIDUALS DO NOT WAIVE THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL OR HUMAN RIGHTS SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY CHOOSE TO COME TO PRISON. PRISON CANNOT BE AN EXCUSE FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT UNDER THE COLOR OF LEGAL AUTHORITY. I ALSO WANT AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE INCIDENT AND INTO PRISON SEARCH AND DETENTION PROTOCOLS. CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS EXIST SO THAT THE RIGHTS OF HUMANS ARE PROTECTED AND THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. TOJ HAS RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THAT ALL PERSONS IN PRISON ARE TREATED HUMANELY AND IN

③ ACCORDANCE WITH OUR LAWS. ¹⁰⁰ OFFENDERS ARE BEING FORCED IN FULL-BODY CAVITY SEARCHES

FOR NO REASON. TDCJ IS ACTING WITHOUT ACCOUNTABILITY RIGHT NOW, THEY ARE DOING WHATEVER THEY DEEM NECESSARY IN THEIR PURSUIT FOR CONTRABAND. THIS IS A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF THAT. NO SEARCH WARRANT / PROBABLE CAUSE WAS ISSUED. THIS IS NOT CONSTITUTIONAL OR NECESSARY TO SUBJECT SOMEONE TO THIS MANY SEARCHES. THIS SHOULD BE A CLEAR INDICATION THAT TDCJ HAS GONE TOO FAR. PRISON IS NOT A CONSTITUTION FREE ZONE. BUT YOU DO HAVE DIMINISHED RIGHTS IN PRISON. IT DOES NOT MEAN YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS AND THIS LAWSUIT IS A CLEAR CASE THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EXCEEDED PROTECTION GRANTED UNDER THE 4TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT. ~~THIS IS TORTURE~~, PRISON IS TO REHABILITATE - NOT TORTURE. COLLECTIVE PUNISHMENT IS PROHIBITED. ~~THEY ARE~~ TDCJ IS ALSO IN VIOLATION OF GOVERNMENT CODE.

~~§ 500-001 SUPERVISORY OR DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY OF INMATES (THIS PROHIBITS PRISONERS DISCIPLINING OR SUPERVISING ANOTHER PRISONER)~~
ALSO WE ARE BEING DENIED GRIEVANCES.