

May 24th 2021

Fraudulent News Companies #SWFMR2A


The definition of the word "Fraudulent", as defined by Webster's College dictionary, is "Based on or done by deceit". The definition of "Fraud"? One definition is "Trickery, Deceit", another is "An act of deceiving: Trick", and yet another is "A person who pretends to be what he or she is not."

So the title of this paper may read "News, by Companies, that is based on or done by deceit". It may also read "Reporters and deciding persons who pretend to be what he or she is not".

It is fairly and commonly understood, now-a-days, that a lot, if not the majority, of news reported today are for one, or a combination, of the following:

- Entertainment (which is not usually accurate).
- To Spread Fear
- To Present an image of someone for the benefit of the Police or other power figures. (Rightfully or Wrongfully)
- To express the Views of Owners(s) and/or editors(s) of the Reporting Company.

"Journalistic Integrity" is a very foreign concept to most journalists and News reporters. If there is such a thing as selling your soul to try and make it in a career, these guys seem to do it a lot. But they get away with it because, we the people, let them. Maybe it's because we're not robots, but that never stopped the REAL reporters before. I, personally, think it's because we as a society have not made a big deal out of "Principles", anymore. Even while I was in grade school, Principles weren't talked about, they were just posters hanging on a wall that we'd pass everyday and pay no attention to. They weren't something to live by, they were something to walk past. So you know what to expect out of the next generations of



[Signature]
MUA
May 24th 2021

Fraudulent News Companies (Continued...)

reporters. If they want to move up, they'll report what they're told to report, say what they're told to say, and think what they've been told to think. They will not have a personality of or for themselves. They will not question anything. They will not refuse to report things not checked out or concrete enough. This is what we can expect and, truthfully, this is what's going on right now anyway.

I'm not completely agreeing with Former President Donald Trump, when he's yelling at "Fake News!", at least not for his reasons or from his point of view. However, I will say he had the right title for his message. The title holds merit. Sometimes, I get so down seeing how far as a society and country we have fallen, because yes, Donald Trump lied about obvious things and maybe even lied a lot, but that did not warrant us, the people, or the news reporting companies to turn a blind eye at his opponents. I very well may have wanted the outcome we received as far as ~~know~~ the Presidency ^{goes} ~~but~~, but to get there through the help of News Reporting Frauds, is not the way to get there. It completely sends the wrong message.

Remember, the general public expects the news companies to report true and accurate information, so that they ~~may~~ ^{may} make good, true, honest, and educated decisions. But ~~to~~ ^{we} have Frauds (A person who pretends to be what he or she is not) reporting the news, meaning to have reporters and companies who pride themselves ^{to be} ~~on~~ ~~reporting~~ reporting true and accurate information, but ARE NOT!

What does this have to do with those incarcerated? Everything. Granted, there are people incarcerated rightfully, but there are also those who are wrongfully incarcerated too. Not to mention the long score of people who have finally been released after serving much too long sentences being wrongfully convicted and accused. Do you know what all of

→

[Signature]
-MCLA
May 24th 2021

Fraudulent News Companies (Continued...)

these people had in common? Fraudulent News Reportings. Not because they didn't necessarily do what they were accused of, but because the reporting is/was part of a ~~big~~^{bigger} effort to help convict the accused person or, at least, to tarnish their reputation in the long haul. Once an accusation has been placed on an individual, and especially when it spreads enough, it will always be in the back of peoples minds and some others ^{will} still believe it even if the accusation was proven false.

Imagine, a person accused of killing someone, the news reports that this person has been faking everyone his entire life and has now shown his true nature. The person is thrown in jail, thousands of people shout hateful things at him, hundreds of people he knew and helped turn their backs on him, his career ~~goes~~^{has gone} down the drain and his reputation is tarnished. He's beat up continuously while in jail, his attorney's are human so they don't go into defending his case with an open mind or with the mindset of 'My client may be innocent'. Things don't add up in the prosecution's case and theory, the police's evidence is ^{not} very conclusive for the accusation of this person and may even be pointing to someone else, but We the people trust the police and prosecutors to do their jobs right, so we over look this. Then years later, after the accusations have been spread so much and for so long, it's time to convict this person. The evidence doesn't really warrant a conviction and, in fact, it looks like this person didn't kill the victim, but what's the determining factor? Well, no matter how much you tell the jurors not to look things up, they're going to and they're going to read the reports or watch the news clips from the original accusations brought on this person. And what do all law-abiding citizens say, who trust the police and prosecution and have done so their entire lives and may even have to for their jobs? ~~It~~ "They arrested this guy, the news said he wasn't a good guy, there must have been a good reason for it. They're the professionals, they know what they're doing. We'll just trust them. Why else would the news report this?"



[Signature]
MWA
May 24th 2021

Fraudulent News Companies (continued..)

So guess what? The Fraudulent News Companies and Reporters have played a role in ~~the~~ conviction of this person.

But here's something even more to think about. What if they were wrong? What if, like the evidence showed to be a possibility, this person was not the killer? And what if Several News Companies all reported the same things helping to tarnish this man's career, image, and reputation, not to mention help convict him, wrongfully? #SNFMRLA

Considering these are companies and reporters who don't actually hold themselves to the standard of reporting the truth and being impartial, they're not going to be doing the right thing and admitting their mistakes because that makes it extremely easy for the wrongfully accused person to sue. And if it's been years, sue for millions. So they're going to stay quiet and, if needed, help cover things up, because, again, the news is just their version and views being reported, not the truth. And they don't want to get caught, their reputation tarnished.

So now you've considered ~~the~~ ^{the} situation of one person. Imagine if it were hundreds from the same news companies and think of the tens of thousands all around the country and world. And this is just on the topic of reporting the truth, facts, and accurate information, impartially, in Criminal Justice matters. Think of all the other topics of the world. Wow! How truly law we have sunk.

#SNFMRLA

What can we do about it? ¹ It's pretty simple. Stay open minded. Hold everyone accountable including the news and rival politicians. Be fair. And live based on those same principles we used to walk past that were posted on the walls in school. We'll have to keep working at it, because although these are simple, we've fallen so far that we have to continue to remind ourselves to walk this just path. But we can do it. Confucius said, "He who says he can, or he who says he can't, are both usually right."