

# Weird Lawyer Visit

I have had visits from my lawyer before but never like the one I had on Sunday, November 14<sup>th</sup> 2021 in the visit room with other prisoners regular visits going on.

The first thing that struck me as odd is that my attorney came on a Sunday when attorney visits are usually on weekdays. The lawyer looked really tired while sitting in the chair across from me with his file of paperwork.

The next thing that I find unsettling and unethical is that I had an Attorney-Client meeting about my active Civil rights 1983 lawsuit against the prison staff and the South Dakota ~~State~~ Department of Corrections, in the visit room with inmates on both sides of me and my attorney.

The first thing I asked both the correctional officer and my attorney is why we are having an Attorney visit with regular visits. The Correctional didn't know and my tired-looking attorney stated don't worry, nothing confidential will be discussed. Attorney visits are supposed to be held in a private room or between just me and my attorney because of Attorney-Client privilege. This didn't happen and my attorney proceeded to talk about the active civil rights lawsuit:

1

over →



Shane Bell v. Darin Young et al., Civ. 21-4134 (8<sup>th</sup> circuit, 2021). I found this suspicious and inappropriate as the ~~the~~ inmates were able to listen in on our attorney-client meeting where my attorney discussed what I wanted, defendants, legal tactics, evidence, etc. Sure enough, people enjoyed talking about my civil rights lawsuit and their agendas.

I have to deal with the draconian practices and official oppression of the prison staff who work on my unit, some whom are defendants on the active case, as well as their inmate minions whom they encourage.

It can be discouraging sometimes, but I am intrepid and will not be intimidated by the Conspiracy Against Rights that is openly practiced against me. I guess I will just have to wait and see how this civil rights lawsuit turns out. I had a previous legally binding settlement agreement contract where the South Dakota Department of Corrections, the defendants and all their employees agreed to no "retaliation or unlawful adverse effects" from the previous lawsuit: Bell v. Young et al., Civ. 16-4046 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2016-20). What happened is ~~is~~ in reality they did the opposite, with even more draconian practices against me. I think all public officials should follow the law, what do you think? Are prison staff above the law in South Dakota?