

## Correctional License to Violate Law

There are two things I never get tired of writing about! Those two things are the tenacious proclivity of all upper echelon correctional officials to retaliate against both prisoners and guards and the fact that correctional officials literally have a license to violate rules, policy, laws, statutes and both constitutions!! The only exception to the above is when media gets ahold of an issue or a prisoner has loved ones who are activistically motivated!!

In 1999 in Detroit, Michigan Congressional Hearings were held in front of Congressman John Conyers in which prisoners, their families, psychologists, lawyers and guards testified to a Correctional Dept. in which criminals were watching criminals. Between 1999 and now it has grown 100 times worse albeit much more esoteric.

I will now demonstrate to taxpayers a few recent crimes committed against me and a blatant threat of more time if I continue to file unacted upon criminal complaints and statutory liens.

On 8-3-18 a [REDACTED], Mail Clerk (whom I sued in 2014 for criminal acts) falsified a public document called "Mail Package Rejection" for a book called Gnostic Gospels claiming Policy Directive 05.03.118, "Prisoner Mail" said I have to have an invoice or receipt with any book order. Since policy does not say that, [REDACTED] violated criminal statutes MCL 750.248; MCL 750.249; which trigger violations of MCL 750.478; MCL 752.11; and MCL 19.142. I promptly filed criminal complaints with Mich. State Police in Lansing, Baraga Sheriff, Baraga prosecutor, and filed a perfectly legal oath of fidelity statutory lien upon his oath of fidelity bond. Prosecutor complaint resulted in a referral to Michigan State Police who always, in clear collusion, referred it to Correctional Internal Affairs, who either do nothing or falsify public documents themselves, violating aforementioned statutes. Mich. State Police in Lansing engaged in same referral charade! I heard nothing from Sheriff or the Secretary of State. On 1-11-19 a PC [REDACTED] was designated to hold a due process Administrative Hearing on the rejection notice. In doing so [REDACTED] also violated above criminal statutes. I filed single complaints with the prosecutor resulting in the above described referrals.

Between 1-22-19 and 2-21-19 Mail Clerk [REDACTED] "put aside" six manila envelopes for rejection violating PD 05.03.116 pg. 9, Sec. UU. requiring prompt rejection. On 1-21-19 [REDACTED] rejected all six envelopes claiming they were too voluminous to search citing PD 05.03.118, Sec. NN. as authority! Problem is section NN. contains no page limitations nor voluminous as a reason for rejection. Wherefore he violated above referred to criminal statutes. A hearing was held 1-22-19 and all materials were returned with no mention of original reason for rejection. I have filed a criminal complaint with prosecutor.

On 2-26-19 a RUM [REDACTED] told me if I file any more complaints or statutory liens, that I would be referred to Mich. State Police and get more time. Exactly what I predicted would happen



in a 1-25-19 piece called "Previous and Upcoming Retaliation."  
Possibly with a new Attorney General and new Governor, this  
criminal enterprise will get its just desserts but, I doubt it.

#144211

Date: 3-19-19

Entered,

*Rick Crowell*  
Rev. Rick Crowell

13924 Wadaga Rd.  
Baraga, MI 49908