

Is it I Whom Scare Them (A Libel Suit Thwarted by Judicial DeFTavity)

by: Ennis R. Patterson

February 8th, 2023

In my essay "Is it I Whom Scare Them (The Morass of Federal Entities)" I clearly describe how judicial bodies at the state and federal level are hampering my ability, more specifically my right, to file civil claims for redress of grievances¹; specifically in terms of a civil claim I attempted to file against persons of the Ohio Attorney General's Office.

What could be the cause of such blatant violations of my constitutional rights? Could it be they know I'm absolutely right and they'd rather not have certain exact goings-on officially documented? Could it be the mere thought of someone of my stature making them out to be the corrupt persons they truly are simply burns them up? Could it be to give my complaints, and the biting truth entailed within, credence would be to open up a can of worms in which have been concealed tightly for decades? Have they decided someone such as myself, whom have filed two official civil suits against persons of judicial authority, and was willing to pay the \$700.00 it costed to file both, that is, when all I had on my books monthly was no more than about \$65.00, is a very determined/dangerous individual whom could expose things they have vigorously worked at keeping covered up — thus undercutting all the wretchedness they have come to so callously, and without an inkling of morality, indulge in?

Accordingly, to win a calumny lawsuit there's five things one must prove: the libel was published, words were of and concerning the plaintiff, material is calumniating/delamatory, material is false, and defendant was at fault.²

In the now most documents in which I sent to a federal court, i.e. civil claims documents, it is without question proved that: one, an official government document was published by a state government entity. Two, words of the document were concerning me as my name, inmate number and case number(s) were in the (intro) caption. Three, my reputation was heavily damaged as a result of untruths told by defendant which were worse than untruths that led to my unjust conviction. Four, material is in fact false as compared to other lies/charges of which I was convicted. And for the fifth thing here's a question... whos else fault could it be save for the individual entity whom signed-off on the documents containing the defamatory comments.

Particular truths and the way I sternly put them to ones of so-called power... I believe scares them.

But what ought someone as myself whom have been sentenced to 20 to life for a crime that never occurred do... cower in a corner and/or go in placate-mode-overdrive? I'd rather stand my grounds and call out these moral deficient slave drivers even if it means forever ~~and~~ sacrificing physical freedom.

Furthermore, being denied my right to file a civil claim, which I am (please read/re-read my previous essay "Is it I whom Scare Them (The Morass of Federal Entities)"), puts me in a position to not only be able to file particular tort again after proving the federal government undercut my attempts, but I'll also be able to file a lawsuit against the federal government as they're the motivating force behind deterring my tort efforts.

But even more, I enjoy exposing judicial low-lives and daring them to

challenge me as it provide more proof of their true nature, that is, that they are the true cowards and fear weave and meander all parts of their molecular structure with even the thought of dealing with someone of my type.

In closing allow me to assert there are no illusions within me. It is not expected of me that somehow the courts will suddenly view laws, codes, or rules as intrinsic with regards to adjudicating, especially when dealing with common people of meager pecuniary means. So even in trying to file a lawsuit against these establishments, the process will be pursued in knowing it is integral of these bodies, as they've made clear by way of actions, to never feel they must adhere to laws, codes, or rules when persons of a low societal caste are at the helm of initiating legal actions. Still, one can choose to take the 'scare' route even if only to show dignity do not diet in the face of amplified wickedness.

End notes

- 1 According to the first Amendment, Congress shall make no law... abridging... the right of people... to petition the Government for redress of grievances.
- 2 Clay Calvert et al; Mass Media Law, 12th Edition; "Elements of Libel"; pp. 152-154; McGraw-Hill Education; New York, N.Y.; 2018

Ernie Patterson

Ernie R. Patterson — Essayist and Poet Marquee; Unofficial News Correspondent of Ohio Criminal Justice/Prison Matters; Associates of the Arts/Sciences from Cuyahoga Community College, 2011; DeVry, 2012; University of Phoenix, 2012-2013